

Matthew Elsbernd  
348 28th Street  
San Francisco CA 94131

Sep 5th 2018

Via ECFS  
Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**Re: In the Matter of Petition of USTelecom for Forbearance Pursuant to  
47 U.S.C. Section 160(c); WC Docket No. 18-141; Category 1**

Dear FCC,

I've had half a dozen internet providers over the last 20+ years. Sometimes I've only had one choice, other times I've had several. Always, choice has provided me not only the best options (speed, features, etc.) but also the best price.

Technology is changing so quickly that what companies can/should offer changes in a moment. Whereas I can understand one water provider and even maybe one power provider to my home, I don't believe one company has the technology, the innovative ideas, nor the incentives to give me the latest. Water 2.0 is probably not something coming soon, but a jump from 10mbps to 1000mbps is something that happened when I switched from one provider to the next.

The free market is supposed to allow for a company determining what is a feasible relationship between price and functionality. If my provider Sonic can offer me more and/or can offer it for less why shouldn't they be allowed to?

I want my current service because it's the best. I want the option to choose between potentially better services tomorrow, if they come along.

Don't lock us into the old soviet model of providing me the choice of any internet provider as long as it's this one... true choice is doable and right!

Matthew Elsbernd